

Estimation of aerial insect concentration with cloud radars

Moritz Lochmann, Heike Kalesse-Los

Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, Leipzig University

CCRES/CLU Spring Workshop, online, 19-20 May 2025



Motivation

- studies have reported strong declines in:
 - insect population sizes (e.g., Thomas et al., 2004)
 - insect abundances (e.g., van Klink et al, 2020)
 - insect biomass (e.g., Hallmann et al, 2017)
- insects are vital to food security and a functioning eco system
- flying insects traverse at "high" altitudes (>50 m) for mating, food and migration
 - active fliers: contribute to their velocity and directionality, but can still be caught in up-/downdrafts
 - non-active fliers: rely on wind and thermals for their movements
- information about where and when flying insects occur in the air space is sparse (Knop et al., 2023)
- cloud and weather radars continuously observe flying insects, albeit usually as unwanted clutter

ACTR

Methodology – identifying insects

Aerosols & insects

Melting & droplets

Insects

Ice

Aerosols

Melting ice

Ice & droplets

Drizzle or rain Droplets

Drizzle & droplets

from Cloudnet products:

- filter insect pixels with Cloudnet target classification
 - some misclassification issues regarding insects, but if we generously remove clouds and precipitation profiles these issues are reduced
- insect mask from Cloudnet target classification (assumption: all



from cloud radar raw data:

- insects appear as sharp, narrow peaks in the Doppler spectrum
- assumption: every narrow peak = 1 insect (Wood et al., 2009)



CCRES moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

ACTRIS

Methodology – peak finding algorithms

PEAKO (Kalesse et al., 2019; Vogl and Radenz et al., 2024):

- originally used to differentiate between different hydrometeor populations
- yields optimal parameters for peak detection based on user-marked training data set
- parameters depend on cloud radar configuration (e.g. chirp table)

peakTree (Radenz et al., 2019; Vogl and Radenz et al., 2024)

- also originally used to study different hydrometeor types in an observation volume
- yields cloud radar variables for each individual peak



CCRES moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

ACTRI

Methodology – information from cloud radar Doppler spectra

- application of PEAKO/peakTree algorithms to determine the number of peaks per range gate
- detected peaks are filtered with reflectivity, spectral width and signal-to-noise ratio
- divide number of peaks by radar observation volume to get aerial insect concentration *aic*



CCRES meeting, May 20, 2025

ACTRI

Advantages of using Cloudnet cloud radar data

- observations at different frequencies
- high temporal resolution
 - technically few seconds, but resampling to a few minutes yields a smoother data set and is still sufficient for most entomological purposes
- high vertical resolution
 - depending on the specific data set, usually tens of meters
- sites all over Europe in (i) different climatic regions and with (ii) different site characteristics (urban, rural, continental, coastal)
- outlook: long standing time series for some sites to assess trends in insect activity between different years

ACTR





Derivation of aic at different frequencies



ΑСΤΠΙ

CCRES moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

This is work in progress and all results are preliminary and may still be adjusted!

 analysis of 3-month data set from Jun-Aug 2019 in Jülich, Germany (JOYCE) for collocated measurements of X-, Ka and W-band radars JOYCE site, Germany, 20190617



Derivation of aic at different frequencies



ACTRI

CCRES moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

This is work in progress and all results are preliminary and may still be adjusted!

- analysis of 3-month data set from Jun-Aug 2019 in Jülich, Germany (JOYCE) for collocated measurements of X-, Ka and W-band radars
- remove some noise by resampling to 10 min periods

JOYCE site, Germany, 20190617



Derivation of aic at different frequencies



CCRES moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

This is work in progress and all results are preliminary and may still be adjusted!

- analysis of 3-month data set from Jun-Aug 2019 in Jülich, Germany (JOYCE) for collocated measurements of X-, Ka and W-band radars
- statistical analysis of diel cycle, dependence on meteorological factors (e.g., temperature, wind), differences between *aic* at different radar frequencies
- here: mean *aic* for 10 min intervals over 14 days (06 June – 19 June 2019

JOYCE site, Germany, 14 days mean



Conclusion & outlook

- cloud radars are a valuable tool for the detection of flying insects
- aerial insect concentration is derived from a combination of Cloudnet products and cloud radar Doppler spectra data (as of now: only for precipitation free situations)

outlook:

- application of this approach to 3 months JOYCE data set
- evaluation of other Cloudnet sites to find promising candidates for further application of this method and to assess interannual trends

ACTR

ACTRIS CCRES

Thank you !



Moritz Lochmann Leipzig Institute for Meteorology moritz.lochmann@uni-leipzig.de

CCRES/CLU Spring Workshop, online, 19-20 May 2025